Hollis Wright 2/20/09 12:44 PM Page 112

#### CLGO CONTANTO I SUMME.

# Hollis, Wright &



www.hollis-wright.com

\*

112 BIRMINGHAM

Hollis Wright 2/20/09 12:44 PM Page 113

CLGO CENTARD | SAWARD.

## Harrington, P.C.



### FIRM RESULTS

FIRM RESULTS:

The lawyers that make up the firm are responsible for, or have participated in, cases rendering verdicts, awards or settlements throughout their professional careers in an amount totaling over \$215,000,000.00, which includes over \$100,000,000.00 in the last seven years. Although such previous recoveries are not indicative of future results, the lawyers at Hollis, Wright & Harrington are proud of their history of verdicts, awards and settlements. Experience does equal results! Listed below are a few recent results:

- •\$17,308,000.00 Award International Dispute Over Product Design
- •\$16,800,000.00 Class Settlement Health Insurance Fraud in Georgia

Confidential resolution of 1500 Clients in a Mass Tort Product Liability Case

### RECENT NOTABLE CASES:

Charles Wilson vs. Jefferson County Commissioners, et al. – In June 2008, Charles Wilson, a Jefferson County resident, filed a class action lawsuit to recover, among other things, exorbitant brokerage fees that were paid to brokers and investment banks around the country by Jefferson County for sewer bond deals. The firm has alleged a total loss of over \$100,000,000, and represents the class.

Fannie Wayne vs. Monsanto Corporation, et al. – Fannie Wayne, along with thousands of other clients, filed a series of lawsuits over the alleged pollution of PCBs in the Anniston, Alabama area. The firm represents over 500 clients in that litigation which is being handled in Jefferson County, Alabama.

Daniel Kahn vs. Fortis Insurance Company, et al. – Daniel Kahn filed a class action lawsuit in Fulton County, Georgia related to artificial health insurance premium increases that allegedly violate Georgia statutes and insurance regulations. This state-wide litigation was certified by the Court and the firm serves as co-lead counsel.

"These recoveries and testimonials are not an indication of future results. Every case is different, and regardless of what friends, family, or other individuals may say about what a case is worth, each case must be evaluated on its own facts and circumstances as they apply to the law. The valuation of a case depends on the facts, the injuries, the jurisdiction, the venue, the witnesses, the parties, and the testimony, among other factors. Furthermore, no representation is made that the quality of the legal services to be performed is greater than the quality of legal services performed by other lawyers."